HOCHSCHULE HANNOVER UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES AND ARTS

Fakultät IV Wirtschaft und Informatik

Choreographed microservices

Towards the automation of BPMN 2.0 choreography diagrams





C. Schulze und A. Link, April 2024



Table of contents

- Chapter 1 Presentation
- Chapter 2 Recap
- **Chapter 3** Choreography patterns
- **Chapter 4** Insight into the choreography grammar
- Chapter 5 Outlook and next steps

CC_ITM@HsH C. Schulze und A. Link



Table of contents

Chapter 1	Presentation
Chapter 2	Recap
Chapter 3	Choreography patterns
Chapter 4	Insight into the choreography grammar
Chapter 5	Outlook and next steps



Presentation CC_ITM@HsH

Competence Center Information Technology and Management (CC_ITM):

- Institute at the University of Applied Sciences and Arts, Hanover with a focus on research,
- Cooperation between HsH and regional companies in the insurance industry,
- Practical and scientific: topics of information processing and information technology.
- Topics covered:
 - Service Computing,
 - Rule Management,
 - Workflows/business processes,
 - Security,
 - etc.



Presentation

Presenters

Christin Schulze (B.Sc.):

- Master's student at the University of Applied Sciences and Arts, Hanover the computer science department
- Employee of the CC_ITM research institute
- Tutor for Software Engineering 3, Database Systems 1 & 2, Distributed Information Systems
- Tutor for bachelor projects in the field of distributed systems, cloud applications, UI/UX

Alexander Link (B.Sc.):

- Master's student at the University of Applied Sciences and Arts, Hanover the computer science department
- Employee of the CC_ITM research institute
- Cool guy



Table of contents

Chapter 1	Presentation
Chapter 2	Recap
Chapter 3	Choreography patterns
Chapter 4	Insight into the choreography grammar
Chapter 5	Outlook and next steps



Recap *Microservices Reference Architecture for Insurance Companies*

Current work:

Further development of the "Microservices Reference Architecture for Insurance Companies (RaMicsV)"

Development, evaluation and prototypical implementation of the topic area Business Logic & Data

Problem:

How can business processes/workflows be implemented using multiple microservices?

How can BPMN 2.0 choreography diagrams be executed automatically?



Building: own representation of RaMicsV.

Recap *Definition of choreography*

The workflow is **not** monitored, guided or controlled by **any central instance**. The **responsibility** for executing the workflow lies with the **participating services**.

Participating services in a choreography:

- Respond to incoming messages,
- Processing messages,
- If necessary, publish the result after completion of a (partial) task.





Recap *Initial situation: BPMN*





Recap BPMN 2.0 Choreography diagrams & problem definition





Table of contents

Chapter 1	Presentation
Chapter 2	Recap
Chapter 3	Choreography patterns
Chapter 4	Insight into the choreography grammar
Chapter 5	Outlook and next steps

Choreography patterns

Pattern Language

Idea: Evaluation of frequently occurring patterns in insurance processes in order to define rules and a grammar for the implementation of practically relevant patterns.

Approach: Pattern Language to define different patterns.

Identification number (ID)	Identification number of the pattern.
Name	Name of the pattern.
Figures	BPMN choreography, BPMN collaboration,
	and UML sequence diagrams.
Rules	Conditions under which the pattern may be
	used.
Used BPMN elements	BPMN elements that occur in that pattern.
Used Patterns	Other patterns which this pattern builds
	upon.
Synonyms	Similar patterns from literature and indus-
	try.
Variations	Situational differences for that pattern
	where the core principal stays the same.
Typical combinations	Other patterns which can be easily com-
	bined.
Use-Cases	Practical example where the pattern occurs.





Choreography patterns

Event-based Gateway – Deadline Pattern





Choreography patterns *Event-based Gateway – Deadline Pattern*

Pattern: Event-based Gateway - Deadline

A time-limited reaction is to be modeled. A participant (B) receives a message from the initiator (A). The recipient (B) must respond within a defined time (N time).





Choreography patterns *Event-based Gateway – Deadline Pattern*

ID	BPMNChor11
Name	Event-based Gateway - Deadline
Figures	See previous slide
Rules	The recipient of the first message becomes the new initiator.
Used BPMN elements	startEvent (none), event-based gateway (opening), participant (pool), Messages (send and receive), timerEvent, endEvent (none)
Used patterns	This pattern is based on the Sequence Flow - Two Participants pattern, with the restriction that the participant who has received the message must reply within a certain time.
Synonyms	Asynchronous request-response
Variations	Event-based Gateway - Participant
Typical combinations	With all basic patterns
Use case	Money transfer within a defined period.

Choreographed microservices



Choreography patterns *Outlook*

- Evaluation of further patterns in the insurance industry,
- Creation of the pattern catalog,
- Development of the grammar
 (→ Insight to follow shortly ())

Pattern Catalog for BPMN Choreography *CC_ITM* Hochschule Hannover

Christin Schulze, Alexander Link, Arne Koschel & Andreas Hausotter

March 18, 2024 Version 1.1 WIP VERSION

Contents

1	Intr	oduction	3
2	Bas 2.1 2.2 2.3	Ccs General conditions and rules Reference process Patterns 2.3.1	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \end{array} $
3	Ato	mic Patterns	9



Table of contents

Chapter 1	Presentation
Chapter 2	Recap
Chapter 3	Choreography patterns
Chapter 4	Insight into the choreography grammar
Chapter 5	Outlook and next steps



Insight into the choreography grammar Introduction

Idea: Choreography grammar to implement valid combinations of choreography patterns and exclude non-valid combinations to represent choreographies.

- Grammar words: Choreography to represent coherent interaction.
- Letters: Choreography patterns and BPMN elements (flow nodes).
- **Currently:** Context-free language, since all relations in R are of the form $N \times (N \cup T)^*$
- Properties & restrictions:
 - Language L(G) contains valid choreographies according to pattern catalog,
 - Validation of sequences of patterns possible,
 - No claim to completeness \rightarrow Guideline for modeling,
 - No checking of initiators and receivers via the grammar → Check rules of the individual patterns.





Insight into the choreography grammar *Formal*

Grammar (G) = (non-terminals (N), terminals (T), derivation rules (R), start (S)) with:

- $N = \{C, ANY, N \text{ according to the status of the pattern catalog, capitalized identifier}\}$
- $T = \{T \text{ according to the status of the pattern catalog, lower case identifier}\}$
- *R* = {see next slide cutout}
- S = C

ANY:

- Placeholder for each non-terminal, except start and end event
- Can also be replaced by epsilon (ϵ) \rightarrow Empty word
- No ANY ⇒ ANY ANY derivation, as it is precisely defined for each pattern when which patterns can come before or after it





Insight into the choreography grammar Rules (for Deadline-Pattern)

 $R = \{ (1) \quad C \Rightarrow E_s G_{rd} \mid (\text{further derivation rules}) \\ (2) \quad ANY \Rightarrow (\text{all available } N) \mid T_{ow} \mid \epsilon \\ (3) \quad T_{ow} \Rightarrow ANY t_{ow} ANY \\ (10) \quad G_{rd} \Rightarrow ANY (t_{ow} \mid t_{tw})^+ (g_{rd}[ANY E_e]) g_{rd}[e_{it} ANY E_e]) \\ (12) \quad E_s \Rightarrow e_s \\ (13) \quad E_e \Rightarrow e_e \\ \}$

with: $E_s = N$ for Start-Event $e_s = T$ for Start-Event $G_{rd} = N$ for Deadline Pattern $g_{rd} = T$ for Deadline Pattern $T_{ow} = N$ for One-Way Task $t_{ow} = T$ for One-Way Task Pattern $t_{tw} = T$ for Two-Way Task Pattern $E_e = N$ for End-Event $e_e = T$ for End-Event + = at least once



Payment

Insight into the choreography grammar Example – Deadline Pattern





Table of contents

Chapter 1	Presentation
Chapter 2	Recap
Chapter 3	Choreography patterns
Chapter 4	Insight into the choreography grammar
Chapter 5	Outlook and next steps



Outlook and next steps

Long-term goal:

Develop a tool for the creation and automated execution of BPMN 2.0 choreography diagrams for choreographed microservices within the insurance industry.

Steps:

. . .

- Serialization of BPMN,
- Extension of the XML schema (BPMN20.xsd for the diagrams and Semantic.xsd for the specification of the BPMN elements),
- parser and generator,





Current puplication

Towards Patterns for Choreography of Microservices-based Insurance Processes:

<u>https://www.thinkmind.org/index.php?view=article&articleid=service_computation_20</u>
 <u>23_1_10_10003</u>



Thank you for your attention!

Any questions?

Contact:

Christin Schulze: christin.schulze@stud.hs-hannover.de Alexander Link: alexander.link@stud.hs-hannover.de Andreas Hausotter: andreas.hausotter@hs-hannover.de Arne Koschel: arne.koschel@hs-hannover.de



Appendix – Backup Folien





Backup: Initial situation – BPMN

Use of the OMG's BPMN 2.0 specification:

- Acronym for Business Process Model and Notation,
- Graphical specification language for describing business processes and workflows,
- Diagram types: BPMN Process, BPMN Collaboration, BPMN Choreography
- BPMN suites such as Camunda can automatically execute BPMN and BPMN collaboration diagrams. → (So far) no implementation for BPMN choreography diagrams!

Widespread use in the business and insurance industry!

Source Spezifikation: https://www.omg.org/spec/BPMN/2.0/PDF



Backup: BPMN 2.0 choreography





Backup: Grammar - notations

Notation for repetitions:

- = Any number of times, or 0 times
- + = Any number of times, at least 1 time
- x+ = Any number of times, at least x times
- ? = 0 or 1 time \rightarrow For clarity when using gc

Notation for brackets:

- Round brackets: Decision
- Curly brackets: Paths
- Square brackets: Event-based paths





Backup: Grammar \rightarrow T_{ow} and T_{tw}

